The 20th April, 1995.

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./570.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s T. T. Public School, Faridabad versus Suresh Chander Yadav.

BEFORE SHRI N. L. PRUTHI, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 280 of 94.

In the matter of Industrial Dispute.

between

SHRI SURESH CHANDER YADAV, S/O SHRI BABU LAL C/O FARIDABAD KAMGAR UNION (REGD.) CITU OFFICE, BHUD COLONY, OLD FARIDABAD

.. Workman.

and

M/S T. T. PUBLIC SCHOOL, SECTOR-28, NEAR PLOT NO. 1665, FARIDABAD

.. Respondent

Present :

None for the workman.

Mrs. Bimla Verma, for the management.

AWARD

Under the provisions of section 10(1) (c) of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Haryana have,—vide Endorsement No. ID/FD/136-94/41619—24, dated 8th November, 1994 referred the following dispute between the parties above mentioned for adjudication:—

Whether termination of services of Shri Suresh Chander is legal and justified. If not, to what relief he is entitled?

2. In this case following orders have been passed on 23th March, 1995: -

The case has been called again. It is 12.00 noon now. No one has appeared for the workman. Notices have been issued to the workman three times i.e. for 10th February, 1995, 10th March, 1995 and for 28th March, 1995. Besides this, the State Government had also sent a copy of the reference to the workman at his address with regard to the demand raised by him, Despite this all, the workman has not cared to put in appearance. He also does not appear to be interested. This is evident from a copy of a document which the management has placed on record viz; a letter dated 10th March, 1995 issued by Lumax Industries Ltd., Faridabad. According to this letter the workman Suresh Chander is in the employment of Lumax Industries since 24th January, 1995. Even prior to this date, he is shown to have remained in the employment of M/s. Usha Telehost from 24th November, 1994 to 22nd January, 1995. So, when the workman is in employment somewhere it can be safely concluded that he is not interested in pursuing his case.

As such, in the absence of any claim statement or any evidence in support of the contentions made in the demand notice 'No Claim Award' is passed.

The 28th March, 1995.

N. L. PRUTHI,

Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 621, dated 30th March, 1995

A copy, with three spare copies, is forwarded to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour Department, Chandigarh.

N. L. PRUTHI.

Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I,
Faridabad.

P. R. KAUSHIK.

Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment, Department.